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Gated SPECT Phase Analysis of Abnormal Left Ventricular Wall Motion Polar Maps in Patients With Normal Perfusion, Normal Global Function, and Low Pretest Probability of Ischemic Heart Disease
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ABSTRACT

Background: One of the probable reasons for wall motion polar map abnormalities is left ventricular (LV) dyssynchrony. The objective of this study was to evaluate LV dyssynchrony via the phase analysis on myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) in patients with a low pretest probability of ischemic heart disease (IHD) and normal electrocardiography (ECG)-gated MPI as the possible contributor to LV regional wall motion polar map abnormalities.

Methods: A total of 181 patients with a low likelihood of IHD, normal MPI, a normal global function, and a normal global ejection fraction were divided into 2 groups: Group A: 81 patients with abnormal regional wall motion and Group B: 100 patients with normal wall motion polar maps. Dyssynchrony in the LV wall was assessed in terms of the phase analysis indices of entropy, the phase histogram bandwidth, and the phase standard deviation quantified by quantitative gated SPECT software, and the results for both groups were compared.

Results: The mean entropy values in the LV anterior, lateral, inferior, and particularly septal walls (P < 0.0001), as well as the mean entropy value in the LV apical wall (P = 0.030), in Group A were significantly higher than those in Group B. Moreover, the phase histogram bandwidth and the phase standard deviation were considerably higher in Group A than in Group B in all LV walls (P < 0.0001), except the LV apical wall (P = 0.063 and P = 0.036) respectively.

Conclusions: Assessment of the phase analysis indices for LV dyssynchrony could be used in patients with a low probability of IHD, a normal LV perfusion, and abnormal wall motion polar maps as a complementary tool for the interpreting physician. (Iranian Heart Journal 2020; 21(4): 67-75)
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Electrocardiography (ECG)-gated single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) is a method for the assessment of the global and regional wall motions, function, and synchronous contractions of the left ventricle (LV). \textsuperscript{1,2} Left ventricular dyssynchrony (LVD), which can be measured by gated SPECT, is also used as an index for LV myocardial perfusion and function simultaneously. \textsuperscript{3,4} Not only are the indices derived by SPECT MPI for mechanical dyssynchrony reproducible, \textsuperscript{5} applicable to retrospective data, and widely available but also they have been noted as an alternative to 2D echocardiography, which is currently used to measure interventricular dyssynchrony. The measurement of LVD via the phase analysis of SPECT MPI indices provides 3D data on LV function. Patients who have LV dysfunction or conduction abnormalities show higher mechanical dyssynchrony indices. \textsuperscript{6-8} Another advantage of LVD measurement is its predictive value for patients who receive cardiac resynchronization therapy. Therefore, an assessment of LVD is necessary for a more accurate selection of patients who would respond to cardiac resynchronization therapy more consistently. \textsuperscript{9-12}

Wall motion polar maps can also be assessed with respect to LVD in a precise manner. \textsuperscript{11,13-16} While several studies have used LVD and suggested that abnormalities in the dyssynchrony indices can reflect perfusion/functional defects, some other investigations have shown that cardiac function is preserved even in the presence of LVD. However, the presence of LVD suggests that the patient may probably progress to heart failure in the future, \textsuperscript{1} which is explained by the uncoordinated contractions of the myocardial segments. The presence of LVD could predict cardiac death in patients with LV dysfunction and even those without LV dysfunction. \textsuperscript{17}

Another advantage of LVD measurement by SPECT MPI is the simultaneous assessment of the perfusion, function, and dysynchrony of the LV. The fact that LVD could be seen in asymptomatic patients may offer chances for intervention at an earlier stage of heart failure. \textsuperscript{1,18}

Accordingly, we hypothesized that mechanical dyssynchrony can cause LV regional wall motion polar map abnormalities in patients with a normal LV perfusion and a normal global LV function on MPI and a low pretest probability of ischemic heart disease (IHD). The present study was conducted with a view to increasing our insight into the role of mechanical dyssynchrony in abnormal LV wall motion polar maps among patients with a normal LV perfusion, a normal ECG, and a low probability of IHD.

\section*{METHODS}

\subsection*{Study Design and Population}

Totally, 181 patients who were at least 18 years of age and were clinically referred for SPECT MPI to the Nuclear Medicine Department of Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center were included in the study. The patients had a low likelihood of IHD (<15\%) as determined by the estimation of the pretest probability of coronary artery disease in terms of sex, age, and the symptoms and risk factors of coronary artery disease based on the guidelines of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA). The patients’ myocardial perfusion and function based on gated MPI studies were normal, characterized as a summed stress score of less than 4, a lung-to-heart uptake ratio of less than 0.4, or a transient ischemic dilation for exercise stress score of less than 1.1 and a stress with dipyridamole score of less than 1.15. A normal myocardial function was defined as a global left ventricular ejection fraction.
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The exclusion criteria included dysrhythmias, ECG abnormal conductions, and low counts. The patients excluded had a history of myocardial infarction, prior sternotomy, arterial fibrillation or multiple premature ventricular contractions, and valvular heart disease (proven by echocardiographic study before MPI). The interpretation of the motion polar maps showed abnormal wall motion in at least one of the LV walls for 81 patients, whereas the other 100 patients had normal wall motion polar maps. There were 117 (64.6%) male and 64 (35.4%) female patients at a mean (± SD) age of 50.39 (± 11.65) years. Table 1 compares the demographic characteristics of the 2 groups.

The current investigation is a cross-sectional analytic study performed in the Nuclear Medicine Research Department of Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center. The study population consisted of patients with a low pretest probability of IHD based on the Framingham score and no dyssynchrony on ECG (QRS = 100 ms) who were referred for SPECT MPI.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee. The objectives of the study were explained to the patients, and written informed consent was obtained prior to participation. The study objectives and protocols were in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki.

ECG-Gated SPECT MPI

The patients’ demographic data were gathered; they included age, gender, height, and weight. Resting ECG and stress/rest SPECT MPI using 350–700 MBq technetium-99m (99mTc) sestamibi were performed for all the patients according to the standard protocol. For image acquisition, a dual-head gamma camera (Symbia T2, Siemens Healthcare) equipped with CT-attenuation correction was used. Thirty-two images at 30-second projections were acquired 45 to 60 minutes following injection with a parallel-hole low-energy high-resolution collimator and 64 × 64 matrix size. Normal perfusion was defined as a summed stress score of less than 4. The appropriateness (beat-to-beat variations and reject beats) of the images was evaluated by quantification using Quantitative Gated SPECT (QGS) software packages (version 0.4, May 2009). The images were interpreted by 2 qualified and experienced nuclear medicine specialists.

Phase analysis parameters, comprised of entropy, the phase standard deviation (PSD), and the phase histogram bandwidth (PHB), were recorded. In addition, LV function indices, consisting of LVEF, the end-diastolic volume (EDV), the end-systolic volume (ESV), the peak filling rate (PFR), and the time-to-peak filling (TTPF), were documented. According to the presence or absence of regional wall motion polar map abnormalities, the patients were categorized into 2 groups: Group A: 81 patients with abnormal regional wall motion, and Group B: 100 patients with normal wall motion polar maps.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive indices, consisting of frequencies and percentages, were used to express the categorical data. The continuous variables were examined for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The normally distributed variables (ie, age, LVEF, EDV, and the entropy of all the regional walls except the septal and apical walls) were summarized using the mean and the standard deviation, and the 2 groups were compared using the 2-sample t-test by considering a P-value of less than 0.05. For the data with non-normal distributions (the body mass index, ESV, PFR, TTPF, PSD, etc.).
PHB, and the septal and apical wall entropy), the Mann–Whitney U test was utilized between the experimental and control groups. The χ² test was applied to compare gender between the groups. All the analyses were performed with the SPSS software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the 2 study groups are depicted in Table 1. As is demonstrated in Table 1, whereas the indices of perfusion, volume, and function (systolic and diastolic) were within the normal range in both groups, there were statistically significant differences with regard to LVEF (%), EDV (mL), ESV (mL), PFR (EDV/s), and TTPF (ms) between the 2 groups. Phase analysis indices indicated significantly higher entropy values in all the LV walls in the patients with post-stress abnormal wall motion polar maps (Group A) than in the patients without abnormal wall motion polar maps (Group B) (P < 0.0001) (Table 2).

Overall, PHB and PSD in 5 segments of the LV walls were significantly higher in Group A. In addition, although the PSD of the apical wall was relatively higher in Group A (P = 0.036), there was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups apropos of the PHB of the apex (P = 0.063). Figures 1 to 4 show the findings in a 42-year-old patient with an abnormal wall motion polar map (Group A) in the phase analysis. Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively, illustrate normal findings obtained from the rest and exercise ECG and from the 2-day 99mTc-MIBI protocol with exercise stress and gated SPECT-CT of the aforementioned patient, who had atypical chest pains and normal perfusion. Figure 3 and Figure 4, correspondingly, show that, despite a normal global EF and a normal diastolic function, the patient had abnormal wall motion polar map phase indices (entropy = 44%, PHB = 36.0°, and PSD = ±10), particularly at the septal wall.

Table 1: Comparisons of the demographic variables between the 2 groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Abnormal Wall Motion Polar Maps: Group A (n = 81)</th>
<th>Normal Wall Motion Polar Maps: Group B (n = 100)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61 (52.1%)</td>
<td>56 (47.9%)</td>
<td>0.007a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (31.2%)</td>
<td>44 (68.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>53.15 (±14.26)</td>
<td>48.47 (±9.76)</td>
<td>0.013c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>26.45 (24.50–29.35)</td>
<td>28.40 (26.51–31.93)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>65.13 (±8.97)</td>
<td>77.08 (±8.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EDV (mL)</td>
<td>75.06 (±19.32)</td>
<td>59.78 (±18.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ESV (mL)</td>
<td>27.00 (19.00–35.50)</td>
<td>13.50 (8.00–22.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFR (EDV/s)</td>
<td>2.67 (2.00–3.26)</td>
<td>3.41 (2.83–4.01)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTPF (ms)</td>
<td>148.00 (117.00–169.50)</td>
<td>161.00 (146.00–177.00)</td>
<td>0.002e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ª χ² test  
ª Data are presented as the mean (the standard deviation).  
ª Student t-test  
ª Data are presented as the median (IQR) 
ª Mann–Whitney U test  
BMI, Body mass index; LV, Left ventricular; EDV, End-diastolic volume; ESV, End-systolic volume; PFR, Peak filling rate; TTPF, Time-to-peak filling
**Table 2**: Comparisons of phase analysis indices between Group A (abnormal wall motion polar maps) and Group B (normal wall motion polar maps)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>PHB</th>
<th>PSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior wall</td>
<td>33.58 (±10.62)</td>
<td>30 (18–36)</td>
<td>7.40 (4.85–10.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.28 (±8.79)</td>
<td>18 (18–24)</td>
<td>4.60 (3.32–5.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.0001</strong>a</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral wall</td>
<td>33.58 (±10.62)</td>
<td>30 (18–42)</td>
<td>7.40 (4.65–11.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.28 (±8.79)</td>
<td>18 (18–24)</td>
<td>4.75 (3.22–6.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>a</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior wall</td>
<td>30.87 (±10.05)</td>
<td>24 (18–36)</td>
<td>6.20 (4.25–9.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.10 (±8.76)</td>
<td>18 (12–24)</td>
<td>4.15 (3.12–5.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>a</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal wall</td>
<td>33 (24–43)</td>
<td>30 (18–42)</td>
<td>6.70 (4.05–11.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 (17–27)</td>
<td>18 (12–18)</td>
<td>3.85 (2.90–4.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>&lt; 0.0001</strong>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex</td>
<td>15 (7–19)</td>
<td>12 (12–18)</td>
<td>2.70 (1.65–3.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 (4–16)</td>
<td>12 (6–12)</td>
<td>2.35 (1.20–2.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.030</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>0.063</strong>b</td>
<td><strong>0.036</strong>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Student t-test, *b* Mann–Whitney U test, PHB and PSD in degrees and entropy in percentages

PHB, Phase histogram bandwidth; PSD, Phase standard deviation

**Figure 1**: Rest and exercise electrocardiogram of a 42-year-old patient with atypical chest pains shows normal findings.
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**Figure 2:** Two-day $^{99m}$Tc-MIBI protocol with exercise stress and gated SPECT-CT images shows normal perfusion. SA, Short axis; VLA, Vertical line axis; HLA, Horizontal long axis.

**Figure 3:** Abnormal septal wall motion polar map with a normal global ejection fraction and a normal diastolic function is illustrated herein.

**Figure 4:** Abnormal phase indices, particularly at the septal wall, are depicted herein.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we evaluated LV wall motion in 2 groups of patients: Group A had normal LV perfusion on MPI, a low pretest probability of IHD, and at the same time abnormal LV regional wall motion polar maps; whereas Group B had the same conditions with just normal LV wall motion polar maps.

Gated MPI is applicable to the measurement of the function, perfusion, and dyssynchrony of the LV in a single study. In addition, the QGS software has proven to be a validated tool for the measurement of LVD parameters.

We, therefore, employed both in the...
current study to evaluate LVD parameters and found statistically meaningful differences between Group A and Group B vis-à-vis regional dyssynchrony indices (ie, entropy, PHB, and PSD) in LV segments (ie, the apex and the anterior, lateral, inferior, and septal walls) (Table 2). Although the patients in both groups had a normal LV perfusion on MPI, a normal global LV function, and no evidence of IHD, our phase analysis by gated SPECT revealed significant differences regarding LVD between the groups. The entire study population had a normal ECG (Fig. 1), hence the low likelihood of the occurrence of electrical dyssynchrony in the face of a significant increase in mechanical synchrony indices.

We performed the present study based on the hypothesis that it would be possible to determine the cause of LV regional wall motion abnormalities (dyssynchrony) in patients who had a normal LV perfusion on MPI with a low probability of IHD. Our quantitative evaluation of phase analysis indices (ie, entropy, PHB, and PSD) in polar maps indicated considerable wall motion abnormalities. Abnormal wall motion polar maps in the presence of normal MPI are deemed a usual occurrence; consequently, a correct interpretation of such abnormalities requires an evaluation of the mechanical synchrony indices.

Recently, the phase analysis via gated SPECT to define LVD has gained attention. This method has been used in several patient populations to determine LVD, interventricular dyssynchrony, and the effect of the His bundle pacing. Our findings showed that LV synchrony parameters (ie, entropy, PSD, and PHB) were higher in the group with abnormal wall motion polar maps. Thus, in order to accurately interpret gated MPI with abnormal wall motion polar maps, nuclear medicine and nuclear cardiology specialists need to pay special heed to LV synchrony indices, aside from hypokinesia, akinesia, and dyskinesia. Some studies have shown that LVD parameters are higher in both resting and stress phases in patients with ischemia. A previous study reported that LVD was seen only in patients with abnormal perfusion on MPI, but not among patients who had normal LV perfusion and function. Nonetheless, our findings do not chime in with the mentioned results insofar as we observed changes in LVD parameters caused by abnormal wall motion polar maps (probably due to dyskinesia) even when stress MPI showed normal function and perfusion.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The cross-sectional design of the current study has its inherent limitations. We had no follow-up to determine the prognostic value of LVD in patients without evidence of IHD and with a normal LV function. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, our study appears to be the first of its kind to assess LVD via the phase analysis in a sample of patients with a low probability of IHD.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In our study, LVD as evaluated by phase analysis indices (ie, entropy, PHB, and PSD) was a significant reason for abnormal LV regional wall motion polar maps in patients who had a normal LV perfusion, a low pretest probability of IHD, and a normal global EF. Generally, the wall motion polar map abnormalities observed in the study population according to phase analysis indices were probably due to dyssynchrony. Furthermore, the phase analysis indices for LV dyssynchrony could be used in patients with a low probability of IHD, a normal LV perfusion, and abnormal wall motion polar maps as a complementary tool for the interpreting physician.
New Knowledge Gained
Abnormal wall motion polar map assessments confer complementary information in MPI evaluations. The phase analysis helps nuclear medicine specialists to interpret wall motion polar map abnormalities carefully and accurately.
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